	Case 1:22-cv-00988-ADA-EPG Document	33 Filed 08/08/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	LASTERIV DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KYLE DAVID MILTON,	Case No. 1:22-cv-00988-ADA-EPG (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO FILE OPPOSITION OR STATEMENT OF NON-
13	v.	OPPOSITION DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS WITHIN TWENTY-ONE
14	B.M. TRATE, et al.,	DAYS
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Kyle David Milton ("Plaintiff") is incarcerated at Atwater U.S. Penitentiary and is	
18	proceeding <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma pauperis</i> in this civil rights action On April 25, 2023, Defendants Trate and Grassley filed a motion to dismiss. (ECF No.	
19	25). On May 26, 2023, Defendant Paltenghi joined the pending motion to dismiss. (ECF No. 30).	
20	Plaintiff was required to file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to the motion within	
21	twenty-one days (Local Rule 230(l)), but did not do so. Local Rule 230(l) provides that the failure	
22	"to file an opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any	
23	opposition to the granting of the motion and may result in the imposition of sanctions."	
24	"Failure to follow a district court's local rules is a proper ground for dismissal." Ghazali v.	
25	Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995). Thus, a Court may dismiss an action for a plaintiff's	
26	failure to oppose a motion to dismiss, where the applicable local rule determines that failure to	
27	oppose a motion will be deemed a waiver of opposition. See Ghazali, 46 F.3d 52 (dismissal	
28	1	

Case 1:22-cv-00988-ADA-EPG Document 33 Filed 08/08/23 Page 2 of 2

upheld even where plaintiff contended he did not receive motion to dismiss, where plaintiff had adequate notice, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b), and time to file opposition); *cf. Heinemann v. Satterberg*, 731 F.3d 914, 916 (9th Cir. 2013) (holding that a motion for summary judgment cannot be granted based on a failure to file opposition, regardless of any local rule to the contrary).

Despite Plaintiff's failure to follow Local Rule 230(1), the Court will give Plaintiff an additional twenty-one days to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss. If Plaintiff fails to file his opposition within this period, the Court may deem the failure to oppose the motion to dismiss as a waiver of any opposition to that motion, and may recommend that the motion be granted on that basis. Alternatively, the Court may recommend that this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with a court order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Within twenty-one days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss; and
- 2. If Plaintiff fails to comply with this order, the Court may deem the failure to oppose the motion to dismiss as a waiver of any opposition to that motion, and may recommend that the motion be granted on that basis. Alternatively, the Court may recommend that this action be dismissed because of Plaintiff's failure to prosecute and failure to comply with a court order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 8, 2023

/s/Euci P. Story

LINITED STATES MACISTRATE HIDGE